



# Contents

<b>Summary of Sustainable Yield Results in Prioritized Aquifers.....</b>	<b>S-1</b>
<b>Section 1 Background .....</b>	<b>S-7</b>
1.1 Purpose of Study.....	S-7
1.2 Prioritized Aquifers in Georgia .....	S-7
<b>Section 2 Sustainable Yield Approach .....</b>	<b>S-8</b>
2.1 Sustainable Yield Criteria .....	S-8
2.1.1 Loss of Confined Head/Pressure (Drop of Water Levels Between Pumping Wells).....	S-9
2.1.2 Changing an Aquifer from Confined to Unconfined Conditions .....	S-9
2.1.3 Minimize Impacts to Streamflow .....	S-9
2.2 Sustainability Measures by Modeling Approach.....	S-10
<b>Section 3 Piedmont and Blue Ridge Provinces: Streamflow-Based Water Budgets.....</b>	<b>S-11</b>
3.1 Modeling Approach .....	S-11
3.2 Estimated Range of Sustainable Yield .....	S-14
<b>Section 4 Northwestern Georgia: Numerical Groundwater Flow Model .....</b>	<b>S-19</b>
4.1 Modeling Approach .....	S-19
4.2 Estimated Range of Sustainable Yield .....	S-19
<b>Section 5 Georgia Coastal Plain: Regional and Sub-Regional Calibrated Groundwater Flow Models .....</b>	<b>S-25</b>
5.1 Dougherty Plain Modeling Approach.....	S-25
5.2 Dougherty Plain Sustainable Yield Ranges .....	S-26
5.3 Coastal Plain Modeling Approach.....	S-30
5.4 Sustainable Yield of South-Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain Upper Floridan Aquifer, Claiborne Aquifer, and Cretaceous Aquifer.....	S-33
5.4.1 Upper Floridan Aquifer in South-Central Georgia .....	S-33
5.4.2 Upper Floridan Aquifer in South-Central and Eastern Coastal Plain Georgia.....	S-36
5.4.3 Claiborne Aquifer.....	S-36
5.4.4 Cretaceous Aquifer.....	S-45
5.5 Regional Model Combined Prioritized Aquifer Sustainable Yield Adjustment .....	S-45

*Note: The Georgia Environmental Protection Division acknowledges the efforts of CDM in development of the analysis and models summarized here and in preparation of this document.*

**Tables**

Table S-1 Sustainable Yield Estimates Using Numerical Models .....S-4  
Table S-2 Sustainable Yield Estimates Using Water Budget Models .....S-5  
Table S-3 Groundwater Sustainability Measures for Study Basins in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge .....S-16  
Table S-4 Comparison of Groundwater Sustainability Measures to Net Groundwater Consumption Using Tennant Thresholds .....S-17  
Table S-5 Dougherty Plain Concurrent Groundwater Withdrawal Increase Factors .....S-28  
Table S-6 Summary of Sustainable Yield Estimates for Withdrawals from Individual Prioritized Aquifers in the Coastal Plain of Georgia .....S-34  
Table S-7 Summary of Sustainable Yield Estimates for Simultaneous Withdrawals from All of the Prioritized Aquifers in the Coastal Plain of Georgia .....S-51  
Table S-8 Total Sustainable Yield of All Prioritized Coastal Plain Aquifers .....S-64

**Figures**

Figure S-1 Location of the High Prioritized Aquifers Selected for Study.....S-2  
Figure S-2 Piedmont and Blue Ridge Model Areas .....S-12  
Figure S-3 Northwestern Georgia Model Area .....S-20  
Figure S-4 Total Simulated Baseflow Within Model Domain For No Pumping and 70 MGD Pumping Scenarios .....S-22  
Figure S-5 Total Simulated Baseflow Within Model Domain For No Pumping and 27 MGD Pumping Scenarios .....S-23  
Figure S-6 Hydrologic Unit Code Regions.....S-27  
Figure S-7 Cumulative Violations MODFE Model .....S-29  
Figure S-8 Regional and Sub-Regional Mode Domains .....S-32  
Figure S-9 Groundwater Modeling for Upper Floridan Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment in South Central Georgia .....S-35  
Figure S-10a Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ( $\Delta Q=293$  mgd).....S-37  
Figure S-10b Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Upper Floridan Aquifer in South Central Georgia ( $\Delta Q=507$  mgd).....S-38  
Figure S-11 Groundwater Modeling for Upper Floridan Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain .....S-39  
Figure S-12a Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in UF Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ( $\Delta Q=507$  mgd).....S-40

Figure S-12b Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in UF Aquifer in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain ( $\Delta Q=393$  mgd) .....S-41

Figure S-13 Groundwater Modeling for Claiborne Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment .....S-42

Figure S-14a Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ( $\Delta Q=33$  mgd) .....S-43

Figure S-14b Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Claiborne Aquifer ( $\Delta Q=183$  mgd) .....S-44

Figure S-15 Groundwater Modeling for Cretaceous Aquifer Sustainable Yield Assessment .....S-46

Figure S-16a Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence and Eutaw Midville Aquifer ( $\Delta Q=74$  mgd) .....S-47

Figure S-16b Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence and Eutaw Midville Aquifer ( $\Delta Q=78$  mgd) .....S-48

Figure S-17a Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw Midville Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence and Eutaw Midville Aquifer ( $\Delta Q=74$  mgd) .....S-49

Figure S-17b Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw Midville Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Providence and Eutaw Midville Aquifer ( $\Delta Q=78$  mgd) .....S-50

Figure S-18 Groundwater Modeling for Sustainable Yield Assessment of Upper Floridan in South Central Georgia and Eastern Coastal Plain, Claiborne Aquifer, and Cretaceous Aquifer .....S-52

Figure 19a Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=400$  mgd) ...S-53

Figure 19b Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=400$  mgd) ...S-54

Figure 19c Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton/Dublin Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=400$  mgd) ...S-55

Figure 19d Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Providence Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=400$  mgd) .....S-56

Figure 19e Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw Midville Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=400$  mgd) ...S-57

Figure 20a Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Floridan Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=563$  mgd) ...S-58

Figure 20b Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Claiborne/Gordon Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=563$  mgd) ...S-59

Figure 20c Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Clayton/Dublin Aquifer Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=563$  mgd) ...S-60

Figure 20d Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Upper Providence Aquifer  
Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=563$  mgd) ...S-61

Figure 20e Simulated Groundwater Level Drawdown in Eutaw Midville Aquifer  
Due to Increasing Existing Well Pumping in Prioritized Aquifers ( $\Delta Q=563$  mgd) ...S-62